

STATE OF UTAH
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

UTAH POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (UPDES) PERMITS

Minor Municipal Permit No. **UT0023001**

In compliance with provisions of the Utah *Water Quality Act, Title 19, Chapter 5, Utah Code* (the "Act"),

NEOLA CITY WATER & SEWER DISTRICT

is hereby authorized to discharge from

NEOLA WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY

to receiving waters named **UINTAH NUMBER 1 CANAL (Tributary to Dry Gulch Creek, thence the Duchesne and Colorado Rivers)**,

in accordance with specific limitations, outfalls, and other conditions set forth herein.

This permit shall become effective on July 1, 2023

This permit expires at midnight on June 30, 2028.

Signed this 28th day of June, 2023.



John K. Mackey, P.E.
Director

Table of Contents

<u>Outline</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
I. DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.....	5
A. Description of Discharge Points	5
B. Narrative Standard	5
C. Specific Limitations and Self-Monitoring Requirements	5
D. Reporting of Monitoring Results	7
II. INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM.....	8
A. Definitions	8
B. Pretreatment Monitoring and Reporting Requirements.....	9
C. Industrial Wastes.....	9
D. General and Specific Prohibitions	10
E. Significant Industrial Users Discharging to the POTW	10
F. Change of Conditions.....	11
G. Legal Action	11
H. Local Limits.....	11
III. BIOSOLIDS REQUIREMENTS.....	12
IV. STORM WATER REQUIREMENTS.....	13
V. MONITORING, RECORDING & GENERAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.....	14
A. Representative Sampling	14
B. Monitoring Procedures.....	14
C. Penalties for Tampering.....	14
D. Compliance Schedules.....	14
E. Additional Monitoring by the Permittee	14
F. Records Contents.....	14
G. Retention of Records	14
H. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting.....	14
I. Other Noncompliance Reporting.....	15
J. Inspection and Entry	15
VI. COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES	17
A. Duty to Comply	17
B. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions	17
C. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity not a Defense.....	17
D. Duty to Mitigate.....	17
E. Proper Operation and Maintenance.....	17
F. Removed Substances	17
G. Bypass of Treatment Facilities	17
H. Upset Conditions	19
VII. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.....	20
A. Planned Changes.....	20
B. Anticipated Noncompliance.....	20
C. Permit Actions	20
D. Duty to Reapply.....	20
E. Duty to Provide Information	20
F. Other Information.....	20
G. Signatory Requirements.....	20
H. Penalties for Falsification of Reports.....	21
I. Availability of Reports	21
J. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability.....	21
K. Property Rights	21
L. Severability	21

M. Transfers..... 22
N. State or Federal Laws 22
O. Water Quality - Reopener Provision..... 22
P. Biosolids – Reopener Provision 22
Q. Toxicity Limitation - Reopener Provision..... 22
VIII. DEFINITIONS..... 23
 A. Wastewater 23

I. DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- A. Description of Discharge Points. The authorization to discharge wastewater provided under this part is limited to those outfalls specifically designated below as discharge locations. Discharges at any location not authorized under a UPDES permit are violations of the *Act* and may be subject to penalties under the *Act*. Knowingly discharging from an unauthorized location or failing to report an unauthorized discharge may be subject to criminal penalties as provided under the *Act*.

Outfall Number
001

Location of Discharge Outfall
A 10" concrete outfall pipe located at latitude 40°24'30" and longitude 110°01'30" on the southwest side of the lagoon system and discharging to the Uintah Number I Canal (also known as the Class E Irrigation Ditch).

- B. Narrative Standard. It shall be unlawful, and a violation of this permit, for the permittee to discharge or place any waste or other substance in such a way as will be or may become offensive such as unnatural deposits, floating debris, oil, scum, or other nuisances such as color, odor or taste, or cause conditions which produce undesirable aquatic life or which produce objectionable tastes in edible aquatic organisms; or result in concentrations or combinations of substances which produce undesirable physiological responses in desirable resident fish, or other desirable aquatic life, or undesirable human health effects, as determined by a bioassay or other tests performed in accordance with standard procedures.
- C. Specific Limitations and Self-Monitoring Requirements.
1. Effective immediately, and lasting through the life of this permit, there shall be no acute or chronic toxicity in Outfall 001 as defined in *Part VIII*, and determined by test procedures described in *Part VII.Q* of this permit.
 2.
 - a. Effective immediately and lasting the duration of this permit, the permittee is authorized to discharge from Outfall 001. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

PART I
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001
WASTEWATER

Parameter	Effluent Limitations *a				
	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	Yearly Average	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
Total Flow	.880	---	--	--	---
BOD ₅ , mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
BOD ₅ Min. % Removal	85	--	--	--	--
TSS, mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
TSS Min. % Removal	85	--	--	--	--
<i>E. coli</i> , No./100mL	126	157	--	--	--
pH, Standard Units	--	--	--	6.5	9
TDS, mg/L *e	<400 increase	--	--	--	1200

Self-Monitoring and Reporting Requirements *a			
Parameter	Frequency	Sample Type	Units
Total Flow *b, *c	Continuous	Recorder	MGD
BOD ₅ , Influent *d	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
TSS, Influent *d	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
TDS, Influent *e	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
<i>E. coli</i> , Effluent only	Monthly	Grab	No./100mL
pH	Monthly	Grab	SU
Total Ammonia (as N) *f	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Orthophosphate (as P), *f	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent			
Total Phosphorus (as P), *f	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Influent			
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
TKN (as N), *f			
Influent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Nitrate, NO ₃ *f	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Nitrite, NO ₂ *f	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Metals *g	1 x in Permit Cycle	Composite	mg/L

Metals to be Monitored		
Parameter	Sample Type	Units
Total Arsenic	Composite	mg/L
Total Cadmium	Composite	mg/L
Total Chromium	Composite	mg/L
Total Copper	Composite	mg/L
Total Cyanide	Grab	mg/L

PART I
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001
WASTEWATER

Total Lead	Composite	mg/L
Total Mercury	Grab/Composite	mg/L
Total Nickel	Composite	mg/L
Total Selenium	Composite	mg/L
Total Silver	Composite	mg/L
Total Zinc	Composite	mg/L

- *a See Definitions, *Part VIII*, for definition of terms.
- *b Flow measurements of influent/effluent volume shall be made in such a manner that the permittee can affirmatively demonstrate that representative values are being obtained.
- *c If the rate of discharge is controlled, the rate and duration of discharge shall be reported.
- *d In addition to monitoring the final discharge, influent samples shall be taken and analyzed for this constituent at the same frequency as required for this constituent in the discharge.
- *e TDS concentrations shall also be monitored from the influent during discharge months, and the net increase of TDS concentration when compared to the effluent TDS concentration shall not exceed 400 mg/L.
- *f Monitoring only for total phosphorus (TP), orthophosphate as P(OP), total ammonia, nitrate-nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) have all been included to comply with Utah Secondary Treatment Standards and the Technology-based Phosphorus Effluent limit rule in *UAC R3170103.3*.
- *g Metals Sampling shall occur 1 time during the 5-year permit cycle.

D. Reporting of Monitoring Results.

1. Reporting of Wastewater Monitoring Results Monitoring results obtained during the previous month shall be summarized for each month and reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report Form (EPA No. 3320-1)* or by NetDMR, post-marked or entered into NetDMR no later than the 28th day of the month following the completed reporting period. The first report is due on July 28, 2023. If no discharge occurs during the reporting period, “no discharge” shall be reported. Legible copies of these, and all other reports including whole effluent toxicity (WET) test reports required herein, shall be signed and certified in accordance with the requirements of *Signatory Requirements (see Part VII.G)*, and submitted by NetDMR, or to the Division of Water Quality at the following address:

Department of Environmental Quality
Division of Water Quality
PO Box 144870
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4870

* Starting January 1, 2017 monitoring results must be submitted using NetDMR unless the permittee has successfully petitioned for an exception.

PART II
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001
PRETREATMENT

II. INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM

A. Definitions. For this section the following definitions shall apply:

1. *Indirect Discharge* means the introduction of pollutants into a publicly-owned treatment works (POTW) from any non-domestic source regulated under section 307 (b), (c) or (d) of the CWA.
2. *Interference* means a discharge which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources, both:
 - a. Inhibits or disrupts the POTW, its treatment processes or operations, or its sludge processes, use or disposal; and
 - b. Therefore is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation) or of the prevention of sewage sludge use or disposal in compliance with the following statutory provisions and regulations or permits issued thereunder (or more stringent State or local regulations): Section 405 of the Clean Water Act, the Solid Waste Disposal Act (SWDA) (including title II, more commonly referred to as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and including State regulations contained in any State sludge management plan prepared pursuant to subtitle D of the SWDA), the Clean Air Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, and the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act.
3. *Local Limit* is defined as a limit designed to prevent pass through and/or interference. And is developed in accordance with 40 CFR 403.5(c).
4. *Pass Through means* a Discharge which exits the POTW into waters of the United States in quantities or concentrations which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources, is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation).
5. *Publicly Owned Treatment Works* or *POTW* means a treatment works as defined by section 212 of the CWA, which is owned by a State or municipality (as defined by section 502(4) of the CWA). This definition includes any devices and systems used in the storage, treatment, recycling and reclamation of municipal sewage or industrial wastes of a liquid nature. It also includes sewers, pipes and other conveyances only if they convey wastewater to a POTW Treatment Plant. The term also means the municipality as defined in section 502(4) of the CWA, which has jurisdiction over the Indirect Discharges to and the discharges from such a treatment works.
6. *Significant Industrial User (SIU)* is defined as an industrial user discharging to a POTW that satisfies any of the following:
 - a. Has a process wastewater flow of 25,000 gallons or more per average work day;
 - b. Has a flow greater than five percent of the flow carried by the municipal system receiving the waste;
 - c. Is subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards, or

PART II
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001
PRETREATMENT

d. Has a reasonable potential for adversely affecting the POTW's operation or for violating any pretreatment standard or requirement.

7. *User or Industrial User (IU)* means a source of Indirect Discharge

B. Pretreatment Monitoring and Reporting Requirements.

1. Because the design capacity of this municipal wastewater treatment facility is less than 5 MGD, the permittee will not be required to develop an approved industrial pretreatment program. However, in order to determine if development of an industrial pretreatment program is warranted, the permittee shall conduct an **industrial waste survey**, as described in *Part II.C.I.*
2. Monitoring will not be required of the permittee for the pretreatment requirements at this time. If changes occur monitoring may be required for parameters not currently listed in the permit or current monitoring requirements may be required to be increased to determine the impact of an industrial user or to investigate sources of pollutant loading. This could include but is not limited to sampling of the influent and effluent of the wastewater treatment plant and within the collection system.
3. If Local Limits are developed it is recommended that the most sensitive method be used for analysis. This will determine if the parameter is present and provide removal efficiencies based on actual data rather than literature values. If a parameter load is greater than the allowable head works load for a Local Limit, the permittee must report this information to the Pretreatment Coordinator for the Division of Water Quality. If the loading exceeds the allowable headworks load, increase sampling must occur based on the requirements given by the Pretreatment Coordinator for the Division of Water Quality. If needed sampling may need to occur to find the source(s) of the increase. This may include sampling of the collection system. Notification regarding the exceedances of the allowable headworks loading can be provided via email.

C. Industrial Wastes.

1. The "Industrial Waste Survey" or "IWS" as required by *Part II.B.I.* consists of;
 - a. Identifying each industrial user (IU),
 - b. Determination of the qualitative and quantitative characteristics of each discharge, and
 - c. Appropriate production data.
2. The IWS must be maintained and updated with IU information as necessary, to ensure that all IUs are properly permitted or controlled at all times. Updates must be submitted to the Director sixty (60) days following a change to the IWS.
3. The permittee must notify the Director of any new introductions by new or existing IUs or any substantial change in pollutants from any process wastewater. Such notice must contain the information described in 1. above, and be forwarded no later than sixty (60) days following the introduction or change.

PART II
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001
PRETREATMENT

- D. General and Specific Prohibitions. The permittee must ensure that no IU violates any of the general or specific standards. If an IU is found violating a general or specific standard the permittee must notify the Director within 24 hours of the event. The general prohibitions and the specific prohibitions apply to each User introducing pollutants into a POTW whether or not the User is subject to other Pretreatment Standards or any national, State or local Pretreatment Requirements.
1. General prohibition Standards. A User may not introduce into a POTW any pollutant(s) which cause Pass Through or Interference.
 2. Specific Prohibited Standards. Developed pursuant to *Section 307 of The Water Quality Act of 1987* require that under no circumstances shall the permittee allow introduction of the following pollutants into the waste treatment system from any User (*40 CFR 403.5*):
 - a. Pollutants which create a fire or explosion hazard in the publicly owned treatment works (POTW), including, but not limited to, waste-streams with a closed cup flashpoint of less than 140°F (60°C);
 - b. Pollutants, which will cause corrosive structural damage to the POTW, but in no case, discharges with a pH lower than 5.0;
 - c. Solid or viscous pollutants in amounts which will cause obstruction to the flow in the POTW resulting in interference;
 - d. Any pollutant, including oxygen demanding pollutants (BOD, etc.) released in a discharge at such volume or strength as to cause interference in the POTW;
 - e. Heat in amounts, which will inhibit biological activity in the POTW, resulting in interference, but in no case, heat in such quantities that the influent to the sewage treatment works exceeds 104°F (40°C);
 - f. Petroleum oil, non-biodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral oil origin in amounts that will cause interference or pass through;
 - g. Pollutants which result in the presence of toxic gases, vapor, or fumes within the POTW in a quantity that may cause worker health or safety problems; or,
 - h. Any trucked or hauled pollutants, except at discharge points designated by the POTW.
 - i. Any pollutant that causes pass through or interference at the POTW.
 - j. Any prohibited standard which the permittee has adopted in an ordinance or rule to control IU discharge to the POTW.
 3. In addition to the general and specific limitations expressed above, more specific pretreatment limitations have been and will be promulgated for specific industrial categories under *Section 307 of the Water Quality Act of 1987 as amended (WQA)*. (See *40 CFR, Subchapter N, Parts 400 through 500*, for specific information).
- E. Significant Industrial Users Discharging to the POTW. The permittee shall provide adequate notice to the Director and the Division of Water Quality Industrial Pretreatment Coordinator of;

PART II
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001
PRETREATMENT

1. Any new introduction of pollutants into the treatment works from an indirect discharger (i.e., industrial user) which would be subject to *Sections 301 or 306* of the *WQA* if it were directly discharging those pollutants;
 2. Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into the treatment works by a source introducing pollutants into the treatment works at the time of issuance of the permit; and
 3. For the purposes of this section, adequate notice shall include information on:
 - a. The quality and quantity of effluent to be introduced into such treatment works; and,
 - b. Any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from such publicly owned treatment works.
 4. Any IU that must comply with applicable requirements under Subtitles C and D of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).
- F. Change of Conditions. At such time as a specific pretreatment limitation becomes applicable to an industrial user of the permittee, the Director may, as appropriate, do the following:
1. Amend the UPDES discharge permit to specify the additional pollutant(s) and corresponding effluent limitation(s) consistent with the applicable national pretreatment limitation;
 2. Require the permittee to specify, by ordinance, contract, or other enforceable means, the type of pollutant(s) and the maximum amount which may be discharged into the POTW. Such requirement shall be imposed in a manner consistent with the POTW program development requirements of the *General Pretreatment Regulations* at *40 CFR 403*;
 3. Require the permittee to monitor its discharge for any pollutant, which may likely be discharged from the POTW, should the industrial user fail to properly pretreat its waste; or
 4. Require the permittee to develop an approved pretreatment program.
- G. Legal Action. The Director retains, at all times, the right to take legal action against the industrial user or permittee, in those cases where a permit violation has occurred because of the failure of an industrial user to discharge at an acceptable level. If the permittee has failed to properly delineate maximum acceptable industrial contributor levels, the Director will look primarily to the permittee as the responsible party.
- H. Local Limits. If Local Limits are developed per R317-8-8.5(4)(b) to protect the POTW from pass-through or interference, then the POTW must submit limits to DWQ for review and public notice, as required by R317-8-8.5(4)(c). Local Limits should be developed in accordance with the latest revision of the EPA Local Limits Development Guidance and per R317-8-8.5.

III. BIOSOLIDS REQUIREMENTS

The State of Utah has adopted the *40 CFR Part 503* federal regulations for the disposal of sewage sludge (biosolids) by reference. However, since this facility is a lagoon, there is not any regular sludge production. Therefore *40 CFR Part 503* does not apply at this time. In the future, if the sludge needs to be removed from the lagoons and is disposed in some way, the Division of Water Quality must be contacted prior to the removal of the sludge to ensure that all applicable state and federal regulations are met.

IV. STORM WATER REQUIREMENTS.

The *Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-8-3.9* requires storm water permit provisions to include the development of a storm water pollution prevention plan for waste water treatment facilities if the facility meets one of both of the following criteria:

1. Waste water treatment facilities with a design flow of 1.0 MGD or greater, and/or,
2. Waste water treatment facilities with an approved pretreatment program as designed in *40 CFR Part 403*,

The Neola Wastewater Treatment Facility does not meet either of the above criteria; therefore, this permit does not include storm water provisions. The permit does however include a storm water re-opener provision.

V. MONITORING, RECORDING & GENERAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- A. Representative Sampling. Samples taken in compliance with the monitoring requirements established under *Part I* shall be collected from the effluent stream prior to discharge into the receiving waters. Samples and measurements shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge. Samples of biosolids shall be collected at a location representative of the quality of biosolids immediately prior to the use-disposal practice.
- B. Monitoring Procedures. Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under *Utah Administrative Code ("UAC") R317-2-10 and 40CFR Part 503*, utilizing sufficiently sensitive test methods unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit.
- C. Penalties for Tampering. The *Act* provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate, any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under this permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six months per violation, or by both.
- D. Compliance Schedules. Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any Compliance Schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date.
- E. Additional Monitoring by the Permittee. If the permittee monitors any parameter more frequently than required by this permit, using test procedures approved under *UAC R317-2-10 and 40 CFR Part* or as specified in this permit, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR or the Biosolids Report Form. Such increased frequency shall also be indicated. Only those parameters required by the permit need to be reported.
- F. Records Contents. Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - 1. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - 2. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 - 3. The date(s) and time(s) analyses were performed;
 - 4. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
 - 5. The analytical techniques or methods used; and,
 - 6. The results of such analyses.
- G. Retention of Records. The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least five years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Director at any time. A copy of this UPDES permit must be maintained on site during the duration of activity at the permitted location
- H. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting.
 - 1. The permittee shall (orally) report any noncompliance including transportation accidents, spills, and uncontrolled runoff from biosolids transfer or land application sites which may seriously endanger health or environment, as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours from the time the permittee first became aware of circumstances. The

PART V
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001

report shall be made to the Division of Water Quality (DWQ) via the 24-hour answering service (801) 536-4123.

2. The following occurrences of noncompliance shall initially be reported by telephone to the DWQ via the 24-hour answering service as soon as possible but no later than 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances:
 - a. Any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment;
 - b. Any unanticipated bypass, which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit (See *Part VI.G, Bypass of Treatment Facilities.*);
 - c. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit (See *Part VI.H, Upset Conditions.*);
 - d. Violation of a daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed in the permit. For other permit violations which will not endanger health or the environment, DWQ may otherwise be notified during business hours (801) 536-4300; or,
 - e. Violation of any of the Table 3 metals limits, the pathogen limits, the vector attraction reduction limits or the management practices for biosolids that have been sold or given away.
3. A written submission shall also be provided within five days of the time that the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain:
 - a. A description of the noncompliance and its cause;
 - b. The period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times;
 - c. The estimated time noncompliance is expected to continue if it has not been corrected;
 - d. Steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance; and,
 - e. Steps taken, if any, to mitigate the adverse impacts on the environment and human health during the noncompliance period.
4. The Director may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis if the oral report has been received within 24 hours by the Division of Water Quality, (801) 536-4300.
5. Reports shall be submitted to the addresses in *Part I.D, Reporting of Monitoring Results.*
- I. Other Noncompliance Reporting. Instances of noncompliance not required to be reported within 24 hours shall be reported at the time that monitoring reports for *Part I.D* are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in *Part V.H.3*
- J. Inspection and Entry The permittee shall allow the Director, or an authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
 1. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of the permit;

PART V
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001

2. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
3. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit, including but not limited to, biosolids treatment, collection, storage facilities or area, transport vehicles and containers, and land application sites;
4. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purpose of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the *Act*, any substances or parameters at any location, including, but not limited to, digested biosolids before dewatering, dewatered biosolids, biosolids transfer or staging areas, any ground or surface waters at the land application sites or biosolids, soils, or vegetation on the land application sites; and,
5. The permittee shall make the necessary arrangements with the landowner or leaseholder to obtain permission or clearance, the Director, or authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, will be permitted to enter without delay for the purposes of performing their responsibilities.

PART VI
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001

VI. COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES

- A. Duty to Comply. The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of *the Act* and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or for denial of a permit renewal application. The permittee shall give advance notice to the Director of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity, which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.
- B. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions. The *Act* provides that any person who violates a permit condition implementing provisions of the *Act* is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$10,000 per day of such violation. Any person who willfully or negligently violates permit conditions or *the Act* is subject to a fine not exceeding \$25,000 per day of violation. Any person convicted under *The Act Section 19-5-115(2)* a second time shall be punished by a fine not exceeding \$50,000 per day. Except as provided at *Part VI.G, Bypass of Treatment Facilities* and *Part VI.H, Upset Conditions*, nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the permittee of the civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance.
- C. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity not a Defense. It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.
- D. Duty to Mitigate. The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit, which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment. The permittee shall also take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any land application in violation of this permit.
- E. Proper Operation and Maintenance. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems, which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.
- F. Removed Substances. Collected screening, grit, solids, sludge, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment shall be disposed of in such a manner so as to prevent any pollutant from entering any waters of the state or creating a health hazard. Sludge/digester supernatant and filter backwash shall not directly enter either the final effluent or waters of the state by any other direct route.
- G. Bypass of Treatment Facilities.
 1. Bypass Not Exceeding Limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to paragraph 2 and 3 of this section.

PART VI
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001

2. Prohibition of Bypass.

- a. Bypass is prohibited, and the Director may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 - (1) Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of human life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 - (2) There were no feasible alternatives to bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate backup equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgement to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance, and
 - (3) The permittee submitted notices as required under *Part VI.G.3.*
- b. The Director may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Director determines that it will meet the three conditions listed in *Parts VI.G.2.a (1), (2) and (3).*

3. Notice.

- a. *Anticipated bypass.* Except as provided above in *Part VI.G.2* and below in *Part VI.G.3.b*, if the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, at least ninety days before the date of bypass. The prior notice shall include the following unless otherwise waived by the Director:
 - (1) Evaluation of alternative to bypass, including cost-benefit analysis containing an assessment of anticipated resource damages;
 - (2) A specific bypass plan describing the work to be performed including scheduled dates and times. The permittee must notify the Director in advance of any changes to the bypass schedule;
 - (3) Description of specific measures to be taken to minimize environmental and public health impacts;
 - (4) A notification plan sufficient to alert all downstream users, the public and others reasonably expected to be impacted by the bypass;
 - (5) A water quality assessment plan to include sufficient monitoring of the receiving water before, during and following the bypass to enable evaluation of public health risks and environmental impacts; and,
 - (6) Any additional information requested by the Director.
- b. *Emergency Bypass.* Where ninety days advance notice is not possible, the permittee must notify the Director, and the Director of the Department of Natural Resources, as soon as it becomes aware of the need to bypass and provide to the Director the information in *Part VI.G.3.a.(1) through (6)* to the extent practicable.

PART VI
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001

- c. *Unanticipated bypass.* The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass to the Director as required under *Part IV.H, Twenty-Four Hour Reporting*. The permittee shall also immediately notify the Director of the Department of Natural Resources, the public and downstream users and shall implement measures to minimize impacts to public health and environment to the extent practicable.

H. Upset Conditions.

1. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of paragraph 2 of this section are met. Director's administrative determination regarding a claim of upset cannot be judiciously challenged by the permittee until such time as an action is initiated for noncompliance.
2. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - a. An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
 - b. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated;
 - c. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required under *Part V.H, Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting*; and,
 - d. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under *Part VI.D, Duty to Mitigate*.
3. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

PART VII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001

VII. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- A. Planned Changes. The permittee shall give notice to the Director as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required only when the alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of parameters discharged or pollutant sold or given away. This notification applies to pollutants, which are not subject to effluent limitations in the permit. In addition, if there are any planned substantial changes to the permittee's existing sludge facilities or their manner of operation or to current sludge management practices of storage and disposal, the permittee shall give notice to the Director of any planned changes at least 30 days prior to their implementation.
- B. Anticipated Noncompliance. The permittee shall give advance notice to the Director of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity, which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.
- C. Permit Actions. This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.
- D. Duty to Reapply. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee shall apply for and obtain a new permit. The application shall be submitted at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit.
- E. Duty to Provide Information. The permittee shall furnish to the Director, within a reasonable time, any information which the Director may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Director, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
- F. Other Information. When the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or any report to the Director, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.
- G. Signatory Requirements. All applications, reports or information submitted to the Director shall be signed and certified.
 - 1. All permit applications shall be signed by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.
 - 2. All reports required by the permit and other information requested by the Director shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
 - a. The authorization is made in writing by a person described above and submitted to the Director, and,
 - b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility, such as the position of plant manager, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position

PART VII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001

having overall responsibility for environmental matters. A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.

3. Changes to authorization. If an authorization under *paragraph VII.G.2* is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of *paragraph VII.G.2* must be submitted to the Director prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.
4. Certification. Any person signing a document under this section shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."
- H. Penalties for Falsification of Reports. The *Act* provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or noncompliance shall, upon conviction be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000.00 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six months per violation, or by both.
- I. Availability of Reports. Except for data determined to be confidential under *UAC R317-8-3.2*, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the office of Director. As required by the *Act*, permit applications, permits and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.
- J. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability. Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the permittee of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject under the *Act*.
- K. Property Rights. The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state or local laws or regulations.
- L. Severability. The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provisions of this permit, or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

PART VII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001

- M. Transfers. This permit may be automatically transferred to a new permittee if:
1. The current permittee notifies the Director at least 20 days in advance of the proposed transfer date;
 2. The notice includes a written agreement between the existing and new permittee's containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage, and liability between them; and,
 3. The Director does not notify the existing permittee and the proposed new permittee of his or her intent to modify, or revoke and reissue the permit. If this notice is not received, the transfer is effective on the date specified in the agreement mentioned in paragraph 2 above.
- N. State or Federal Laws. Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable state law or regulation under authority preserved by *Sections 19-5-117 and 510 of the Act* or any applicable Federal or State transportation regulations, such as but not limited to the Department of Transportation regulations.
- O. Water Quality - Reopener Provision. This permit may be reopened and modified (following proper administrative procedures) to include the appropriate effluent limitations and compliance schedule, if necessary, if one or more of the following events occurs:
1. Water Quality Standards for the receiving water(s) to which the permittee discharges are modified in such a manner as to require different effluent limits than contained in this permit.
 2. A final wasteload allocation is developed and approved by the State and/or EPA for incorporation in this permit.
 3. Revisions to the current CWA § 208 areawide treatment management plans or promulgations/revisions to TMDLs (40 CFR 130.7) approved by the EPA and adopted by DWQ which calls for different effluent limitations than contained in this permit.
- P. Biosolids – Reopener Provision. This permit may be reopened and modified (following proper administrative procedures) to include the appropriate biosolids limitations (and compliance schedule, if necessary), management practices, other appropriate requirements to protect public health and the environment, or if there have been substantial changes (or such changes are planned) in biosolids use or disposal practices; applicable management practices or numerical limitations for pollutants in biosolids have been promulgated which are more stringent than the requirements in this permit; and/or it has been determined that the permittees biosolids use or land application practices do not comply with existing applicable state or federal regulations.
- Q. Toxicity Limitation - Reopener Provision. This permit may be reopened and modified (following proper administrative procedures) to include WET testing, a WET limitation, a compliance schedule, a compliance date, additional or modified numerical limitations, or any other conditions related to the control of toxicants if toxicity is detected during the life of this permit.

VIII. DEFINITIONS

A. Wastewater.

1. The "7-day (and weekly) average", other than for *E. coli* bacteria, fecal coliform bacteria, and total coliform bacteria, is the arithmetic average of all samples collected during a consecutive 7-day period or calendar week, whichever is applicable. Geometric means shall be calculated for *E. coli* bacteria, fecal coliform bacteria, and total coliform bacteria. The 7-day and weekly averages are applicable only to those effluent characteristics for which there are 7-day average effluent limitations. The calendar week, which begins on Sunday and ends on Saturday, shall be used for purposes of reporting self-monitoring data on discharge monitoring report forms. Weekly averages shall be calculated for all calendar weeks with Saturdays in the month. If a calendar week overlaps two months (i.e., the Sunday is in one month and the Saturday in the following month), the weekly average calculated for that calendar week shall be included in the data for the month that contains Saturday.
2. The "30-day (and monthly) average," other than for *E. coli* bacteria, fecal coliform bacteria and total coliform bacteria, is the arithmetic average of all samples collected during a consecutive 30-day period or calendar month, whichever is applicable. Geometric means shall be calculated for *E. coli* bacteria, fecal coliform bacteria and total coliform bacteria. The calendar month shall be used for purposes of reporting self-monitoring data on discharge monitoring report forms.
3. "Act," means the *Utah Water Quality Act*.
4. "Acute toxicity" occurs when 50 percent or more mortality is observed for either test species at any effluent concentration (lethal concentration or "LC₅₀").
5. "Annual Loading Cap" is the highest allowable phosphorus loading discharged over a calendar year, calculated as the sum of all the monthly loading discharges measured during a calendar year divided by the number of monthly discharges measured during that year.
6. "Bypass," means the diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.
7. "Chronic toxicity" occurs when the IC₂₅< XX% effluent. The XX% effluent is the concentration of the effluent in the receiving water, at the end of the mixing zone expressed as per cent effluent.
8. "IC₂₅" is the concentration of toxicant (given in % effluent) that would cause a 25% reduction in mean young per female, or a 25% reduction in overall growth for the test population.
9. "Composite Samples" shall be flow proportioned. The composite sample shall, as a minimum, contain at least four (4) samples collected over the compositing period. Unless otherwise specified, the time between the collection of the first sample and the last sample shall not be less than six (6) hours nor more than 24 hours. Acceptable methods for preparation of composite samples are as follows:

PART VIII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0023001

- a. Constant time interval between samples, sample volume proportional to flow rate at time of sampling;
 - b. Constant time interval between samples, sample volume proportional to total flow (volume) since last sample. For the first sample, the flow rate at the time the sample was collected may be used;
 - c. Constant sample volume, time interval between samples proportional to flow (i.e., sample taken every "X" gallons of flow); and,
 - d. Continuous sample volume, with sample collection rate proportional to flow rate.
10. "CWA" means *The Federal Water Pollution Control Act*, as amended, by *The Clean Water Act of 1987*.
 11. "Daily Maximum" (Daily Max.) is the maximum value allowable in any single sample or instantaneous measurement.
 12. "EPA," means the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
 13. "Director," means Director of the Division of Water Quality.
 14. A "grab" sample, for monitoring requirements, is defined as a single "dip and take" sample collected at a representative point in the discharge stream.
 15. An "instantaneous" measurement, for monitoring requirements, is defined as a single reading, observation, or measurement.
 16. "Severe Property Damage," means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
 17. "Upset," means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventative maintenance, or careless or improper operation.
 18. "TSS," means Total Suspended Solids.
 19. "TDS," means Total Dissolved Solids.
 20. "BOD₅," means Biological Oxygen Demand 5-day test.

**FACT SHEET STATEMENT OF BASIS
NEOLA CITY WATER & SEWER DISTRICT
RENEWAL PERMIT: DISCHARGE
UPDES PERMIT NUMBER: UT0023001
MINOR MUNICIPAL**

FACILITY CONTACTS

Person Name: Brian Gines
Position: Wastewater Operator
Phone Number: (435) 722-1884

Facility Name: Neola City Water & Sewer District
Facility Address: 8750 N SR 121
Neola, Utah 84053
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 207
Neola, Utah 84053

DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY

The Neola Wastewater Treatment Facility (NWTF) is located in Duchesne County near the south slope of the Uintah Mountains. The lagoons were originally constructed in 1963 as a total containment lagoon system with three cells utilizing 5.9 acres. As a result of high ground water infiltrating the lagoon and sewer system, the lagoons were expanded in 1971. The upgraded facility has five lagoons with a total of 15.5 acres. The system was intended to be a non-discharging facility but has the need to discharge during times of high precipitation years when ground water infiltration into the system is significant. When the need arises, NWTF has historically discharged during the spring months. The facility serves the Neola Improvement District (NID) with a current population of approximately 490. The average design flow is 0.88 MGD. The discharge outfall is located at latitude 40° 24'30" and longitude 110°01'30".

SUMMARY OF CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS PERMIT

A monthly maximum average effluent limit of <400 mg/L increase was added for total dissolved solids (TDS) based on the CRBSCF Policy entitled "NPDES Permit Program Policy for Implementation of Colorado River Salinity Standards".

Water Quality adopted UAC R317-1-3.3, Technology-Based Phosphorus Effluent Limit (TBPEL) Rule in 2014. No TBPEL will be instituted for discharging treatment lagoons. Instead, each discharging lagoon will be evaluated to determine the current annual average total phosphorus load measured in pounds per year based on monthly average flow rates and concentrations. Absent field data to determine these loads, and in case of intermittent discharging lagoons, the phosphorus load cap will be estimated by the Director. A cap of 125% of the current annual total phosphorus load will be established and referred to as phosphorus loading cap. Once the lagoon's phosphorus loading cap has been reached, the owner of the facility will have five years to construct treatment processes or implement treatment alternatives to prevent the total phosphorus loading cap from being exceeded. The loading cap shall be calculated following any future discharges.

The TBPEL discharging treatment works are required to implement, at a minimum, monthly monitoring of the following beginning July 1, 2015:

- R317-1-3.3, E, 1, a. Influent for total phosphorus (as P) and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (as N) concentrations;
- R317-1-3.3, E, 1, b. Effluent for total phosphorus and orthophosphate (as P), ammonia, nitrate-nitrite and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (as N);

In R317-1-3.3, E, 3 the rule states that all monitoring shall be based on 24-hour composite samples by use of an automatic sampler or a minimum of four grab samples collected a minimum of two hours apart.

The phosphorus annual loading cap is defined as

"Annual Loading Cap" is the highest allowable phosphorus loading discharged over a calendar year, calculated as the sum of all the monthly loading discharges measured during a calendar year divided by the number of monthly discharges measured during that year.

DISCHARGE

DESCRIPTION OF DISCHARGE

When necessary, the NWTf discharges into an irrigation ditch. Only once since 2011 has the NWTf observed, recorded and monitored an actual effluent discharge.

<u>Outfall</u>	<u>Description of Discharge Point</u>
001	A 10" concrete outfall pipe located at latitude 40°24'30" and longitude 110°01'30" on the southwest side of the lagoon system and discharging to an irrigation conveyance known as the Uintah Number I Canal (also known as the Class E Irrigation Ditch).

RECEIVING WATERS AND STREAM CLASSIFICATION

The final discharge flows into the Uintah Number I Canal, which is part of the Dry Gulch Creek tributary system and has been classified as Class 2B, Class 3E, and Class 4 according to *Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-2-13*,

- Class 2B -- Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
- Class 3E -- Severely habitat-limited waters. Narrative standards will be applied to protect these waters for aquatic wildlife.
- Class 4 -- Protected for agricultural uses including irrigation of crops and stock watering.

BASIS FOR EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

Limitations on total suspended solids (TSS), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅), E. Coli, pH and percent removal for BOD₅ and TSS are based on current Utah Secondary Treatment Standards, *UAC R317-1-3.2*.

Monitoring only for total phosphorous (TP), orthophosphate as P (OP), total ammonia nitrate-nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen as N (TKN) have all been included to comply with Utah Secondary Treatment Standards and the Technology-based Phosphorous Effluent limit rule in *UAC R317-1-3.3*, whereas a TP loading cap will be determined upon any future discharge data and included in a future permit renewal or modification as determined by the permitting authority.

Total dissolved solids (TDS) limitations are based upon the wasteload analysis (WLA) for maximum concentration values and the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Forum (CRBSCF) for loading values when applicable as authorized in *UAC R317-2-4*. CRBSCF has established a policy for the reasonable loading increase of salinity for municipal discharges to any portion of the Colorado River. The CRBSCF Policy entitled "NPDES Permit Program Policy for Implementation of Colorado River Salinity Standards" (Policy), with the most current version dated October 2020, states that the incremental increase in salinity shall be 400 mg/L or less, which is considered to be a reasonable incremental increase above the flow weighted average salinity of the intake water supply.

During the development of this draft permit renewal, a WLA and an Antidegradation review (ADR) were performed for discharges from NWTF into the irrigation ditch and receiving waters. The ADR Level I determined that an ADR Level II was not required. Based upon the WLA, which is appended to this fact sheet, it has been determined by the permitting authority that this discharge will not cause a violation of water quality standards. NWTF is expected to be able to comply with their permit limitations as drafted.

Reasonable Potential Analysis

Since January 1, 2016, DWQ has conducted reasonable potential analysis (RP) on all new and renewal applications received after that date. RP for this permit was not conducted following DWQ's September 10, 2015 Reasonable Potential Analysis Guidance (RP Guidance) because there is inadequate data for use in a RP. As a result, monitoring for metals will be included in this permit. The monitoring will help establish a record of presence or absence of each pollutant. Monitoring for metals will be required one time during this permit cycle. To ensure that the metals sampling requirement is met during the permit cycle, the facility should consider collecting the samples as soon as they begin to discharge.

The permit limitations are:

Parameter	Effluent Limitations *a				
	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	Yearly Average	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
Total Flow	.880	---	--	--	---
BOD ₅ , mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
BOD ₅ Min. % Removal	85	--	--	--	--
TSS, mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
TSS Min. % Removal	85	--	--	--	--
<i>E. coli</i> , No./100mL	126	157	--	--	--
pH, Standard Units	--	--	--	6.5	9
TDS, mg/L *e	<400 increase				1200

SELF-MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following self-monitoring requirements are the same as in the previous permit. The permit will require reports to be submitted monthly and annually, as applicable, on Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) forms due 28 days after the end of the monitoring period. Effective January 1, 2017, monitoring results must be submitted using NetDMR unless the permittee has successfully petitioned for an exception. Lab sheets for biomonitoring must be attached to the biomonitoring DMR. Lab sheets for metals and toxic organics must be attached to the DMRs.

Self-Monitoring and Reporting Requirements *a			
Parameter	Frequency	Sample Type	Units
Total Flow *b, *c	Continuous	Recorder	MGD
BOD ₅ , Influent *d	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
TSS, Influent *d	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
TDS, Influent *e	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
<i>E. coli</i> , Effluent only	Monthly	Grab	No./100mL
pH	Monthly	Grab	SU
Total Ammonia (as N) *f	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Orthophosphate (as P), *f			
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Total Phosphorus (as P), *f			
Influent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen TKN (as N), *f			
Influent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Nitrate, NO ₃ *f	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Nitrite, NO ₂ *f	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Metals *g	1 x in Permit Cycle	Composite	mg/L

Metals to be Monitored		
Parameter	Sample Type	Units
Total Arsenic	Composite	mg/L
Total Cadmium	Composite	mg/L
Total Chromium	Composite	mg/L
Total Copper	Composite	mg/L
Total Cyanide	Grab	mg/L
Total Lead	Composite	mg/L
Total Mercury	Grab/Composite	mg/L
Total Nickel	Composite	mg/L
Total Selenium	Composite	mg/L
Total Silver	Composite	mg/L
Total Zinc	Composite	mg/L

- *a See Definitions, *Part VIII*, for definition of terms.
- *b Flow measurements of influent/effluent volume shall be made in such a manner that the permittee can affirmatively demonstrate that representative values are being obtained.
- *c If the rate of discharge is controlled, the rate and duration of discharge shall be reported.
- *d In addition to monitoring the final discharge, influent samples shall be taken and analyzed for this constituent at the same frequency as required for this constituent in the discharge.
- *e TDS concentrations shall also be monitored from the influent during discharge months, and the net increase of TDS concentration when compared to the effluent TDS concentration shall not exceed 400 mg/L.
- *f Monitoring only for total phosphorus (TP), orthophosphate as P, total ammonia, nitrate-nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) have all been included to comply with Utah Secondary Treatment Standards and the Technology-based Phosphorus Effluent limit rule in *UAC R317-1-3.3*.
- *g Metals sampling shall occur 1 time during the 5-year permit cycle.

BIOSOLIDS

For clarification purposes, sewage sludge is considered solids, until treatment or testing shows that the solids are safe, and meet beneficial use standards. After the solids are tested or treated, the solids are then known as biosolids. Class A biosolids, may be used for high public contact sites, such as home lawns and gardens, parks, or playing fields, etc. Class B biosolids may be used for low public contact sites, such as farms, rangeland, or reclamation sites, etc.

As required by the 1987 amendments to the Federal *Clean Water Act*, EPA has established toxic contaminant criteria and other requirements for sewage sludge use and disposal by works treating domestic sewage. These regulations are found in *Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 603*. The biosolids (sludge) management program was delegated to the State of Utah on June 14, 1996. The 503 regulations are implemented by the issuance of permits, as needed and appropriate.

Because the permitted facility is a lagoon, there is no regular biosolids production. Therefore, the requirements of Part 503 do not apply unless or until sludge is removed from the bottom of the lagoon and used or disposed of in some way. When planning biosolids removal, the permittee should contact the DWQ for guidance.

PRETREATMENT REQUIREMENTS

Neola has not been required to develop an Approved POTW Pretreatment Program (APP). This is because conditions within the Neola Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) do not require a program. This is based on the following: flow through the water reclamation facility is less than five (5) MGD, no Significant Industrial Users discharging to the POTW, and the WRF has not discharged for at least three years; therefore, there has not been any violations of the UPDES permit limits.

Although Neola does not have to develop an APP, any industrial wastewater discharged to the POTW is subject to Federal, State and local regulations. Pursuant to Section 307 of the Clean Water Act, Neola shall

comply with all applicable Federal General Pretreatment Regulations promulgated, found in 40 CFR 403, and the State Pretreatment Requirements found in UAC R317-8-8. This includes contacting the Division of Water Quality about any new or changes to existing Industrial Users.

As stated in Part II of the permit, Neola is required to update the industrial waste survey (IWS). The IWS is to assess the need for pretreatment assistance. If an Industrial User begins to discharge or an existing Industrial User changes their discharge, Neola must resubmit the IWS within sixty days following the introduction or change, as stated in Part II of the permit.

Neola has not developed Local Limits for Industrial Users. Although this has not occurred if Neola develops Local Limits, the limits must be submitted to DWQ for approval. If local limits are developed, it is required that an annual evaluation of the need to revise or develop technically based local limits for pollutants of concern, to implement the general and specific prohibitions *40 CFR, Part 403.5(a)* and *Part 403.5(b)*. This evaluation may indicate that present local limits are sufficiently protective, need to be revised or should be developed.

BIOMONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A nationwide effort to control toxic discharges where effluent toxicity is an existing or potential concern is regulated in accordance with the Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit and Enforcement Guidance Document for Whole Effluent Toxicity Control (biomonitoring), dated February 2018. Authority to require effluent biomonitoring is provided in Permit Conditions, UAC R317-8-4.2, Permit Provisions, UAC R317-8-5.3 and Water Quality Standards, UAC R317-2-5 and R317 -2-7.2.

The permittee is a minor municipal facility that will be discharging an infrequent amount of effluent, in which toxicity is neither an existing concern, nor likely to be present. Also, the receiving irrigation ditch is regularly dry; therefore, there is not any available data to conclude that the irrigation ditch is impaired. Based on these considerations, and the absence of receiving stream water quality monitoring data, there is no reasonable potential for toxicity in the permittee's discharge (per State of Utah Permitting and Enforcement Guidance Document for WET Control). As such, there will be no numerical WET limitations or WET monitoring requirements in this permit. However, the permit will contain a toxicity limitation re-opener provision that allows for modification of the permit should additional information indicate the presence of toxicity in the discharge.

TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD

DWQ's 2022 Integrated Report lists Dry Gulch Creek and tributaries from Duchesne River confluence to headwaters within Utah jurisdiction, excluding tribal jurisdictions (Assessment Unit UT14060003-009_00) as impaired for Total Dissolved Solids (Class 4) and E. coli (Class 2B). A TMDL for TDS was completed (Uinta River, Deep Creek and Dry Gulch Creek TMDLs for Total Dissolved Solids; Uinta River Watershed, Utah) in October 9, 2002. Due to the limited and intermittent discharge of the lagoons (the last discharge has happened almost ten years ago) no load allocation was given to the facility in the TMDL. Effluents limits for E. coli and total dissolved solids (TDS) equal to the water quality criteria will ensure that in-stream criteria will not be exceeded at the point of discharge as well as not causing or contributing to the existing impairment downstream in Dry Gulch Creek.

PERMIT DURATION

It is recommended that this permit be effective for a duration of five (5) years.

Drafted by
Jennifer Berjikian, Environmental Scientist
Utah Division of Water Quality
March 13, 2023

DWQ Draft Permit Reviews

Drafted and Reviewed by
Daniel Griffin, Discharge Permit Writer
Daniel Griffin, Biosolids
Jennifer Robinson, Pretreatment
Lonnie Shull, Biomonitoring
Carl Adams, Storm Water
Lucy Parham, TMDL/Watershed
Suzan Tahir, Wasteload Analysis
Utah Division of Water Quality, (801) 536-4300

PUBLIC NOTICE

Began: April 14, 2023
Ended: May 15, 2023

Comments will be received at: 195 North 1950 West
PO Box 144870
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4870

The Public Notice of the draft permit was published on the Division of Water Quality Public Notice Webpage.

During the public comment period provided under R317-8-6.5, any interested person may submit written comments on the draft permit and may request a public hearing, if no hearing has already been scheduled. A request for a public hearing shall be in writing and shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing. All comments will be considered in making the final decision and shall be answered as provided in R317-8-6.12.

ADDENDUM TO FSSOB

The draft Fact Sheet Statement of Basis, Addendums, and draft UPDES permit were public noticed in The Vernal Express and also under "Public Participation" on the Division of Water Quality Website at www.waterquality.utah.gov, from April 14, 2023 May 15, 2023. No comments were received. Staff recommends issuance of the permit as drafted.

During finalization of the Permit certain dates, spelling edits and minor language corrections were completed. Due to the nature of these changes they were not considered Major and the permit is not required to be re Public Noticed.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

ATTACHMENT 1

Industrial Waste Survey

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Industrial Pretreatment Wastewater Survey



Do you periodically experience any of the following treatment works problems:

- foam, floaties or unusual colors
- plugged collection lines caused by grease, sand, flour, etc.
- discharging excessive suspended solids, even in the winter
- smells unusually bad
- waste treatment facility doesn't seem to be treating the waste right

Perhaps the solution to a problem like one of these may lie in investigating the types and amounts of wastewater entering the sewer system from industrial users.

An industrial user (IU) is defined as a non-domestic user discharging to the waste treatment facility which meets any of the following criteria:

1. **has a lot of process wastewater (5% of the flow at the waste treatment facility or more than 25,000 gallons per work day.)**

Examples: Food processor, dairy, slaughterhouse, industrial laundry.

2. **is subject to Federal Categorical Pretreatment Standards;**

Examples: metal plating, cleaning or coating of metals, blueing of metals, aluminum extruding, circuit board manufacturing, tanning animal skins, pesticide formulating or packaging, and pharmaceutical manufacturing or packaging,

3. **is a concern to the POTW.**

Examples: septage hauler, restaurant and food service, car wash, hospital, photo lab, carpet cleaner, commercial laundry.

All users of the water treatment facility are **prohibited** from making the following types of discharges:

1. A discharge which creates a fire or explosion hazard in the collection system.
2. A discharge which creates toxic gases, vapor or fumes in the collection system.
3. A discharge of solids or thick liquids which creates flow obstructions in the collection system.
4. An acidic discharge (low pH) which causes corrosive damage to the collection system.
5. Petroleum oil, nonbiodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral oil origin in amounts that will cause problems in the collection system or at the waste treatment facility.
6. Waste haulers are prohibited from discharging without permission. (No midnight dumping!)

When the solution to a sewer system problem may be found by investigating the types and amounts of wastewater entering the sewer system discharged from IUs, it's appropriate to conduct an Industrial Waste Survey.

An Industrial Waste Survey consists of:

Step 1: Identify Industrial Users

Make a list of all the commercial and industrial sewer connections.

Sources for the list:

business license, building permits, water and wastewater billing, Chamber of Commerce, newspaper, telephone book, yellow pages.

Split the list into two groups:

domestic wastewater only--no further information needed
everyone else (IUs)

Step 2: Preliminary Inspection

Go visit each IU identified on the "everybody else" list.

Fill out the **Preliminary Inspection Form** during the site visit.

Step 3: Informing the State

Please fax or send a copy of the Preliminary inspection form (both sides) to:

Jennifer Robinson

Division of Water Quality
288 North 1460 West
P.O. Box 144870
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4870

Phone: (801) 536-4383
Fax: (801) 536-4301
E-mail: jenrobinson@utah.gov

PRELIMINARY INSPECTION FORM
INSPECTION DATE ___ / ___ /

Name of Business _____ Person Contacted _____
Address _____ Phone Number _____

Description of Business _____

Principal product or service: _____

Raw Materials used: _____

Production process is: Batch Continuous Both

Is production subject to seasonal variation? yes no
If yes, briefly describe seasonal production cycle.

This facility generates the following types of wastes (check all that apply):

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic wastes | (Restrooms, employee showers, etc.) |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling water, non-contact | 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Boiler/Tower blowdown |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling water, contact | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Process |
| 6. <input type="checkbox"/> Equipment/Facility washdown | 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Air Pollution Control Unit |
| 8. <input type="checkbox"/> Storm water runoff to sewer | 9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other describe |

Wastes are discharged to (check all that apply):

- | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sanitary sewer | <input type="checkbox"/> Storm sewer |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Surface water | <input type="checkbox"/> Ground water |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Waste haulers | <input type="checkbox"/> Evaporation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) | |

Name of waste hauler(s), if used

Is a grease trap installed? Yes No
Is it operational? Yes No

Does the business discharge a lot of process wastewater?

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| • More than 5% of the flow to the waste treatment facility? | Yes | No |
| • More than 25,000 gallons per work day? | Yes | No |

Does the business do any of the following:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adhesives | <input type="checkbox"/> Car Wash |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aluminum Forming | <input type="checkbox"/> Carpet Cleaner |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Battery Manufacturing | <input type="checkbox"/> Dairy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Copper Forming | <input type="checkbox"/> Food Processor |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Electric & Electronic Components | <input type="checkbox"/> Hospital |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Manufacturing | <input type="checkbox"/> Laundries |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Foundries | <input type="checkbox"/> Photo Lab |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Inorganic Chemicals Mfg. or Packaging | <input type="checkbox"/> Restaurant & Food Service |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial Porcelain Ceramic Manufacturing | <input type="checkbox"/> Septage Hauler |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Iron & Steel | <input type="checkbox"/> Slaughter House |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Metal Finishing, Coating or Cleaning | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mining | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nonferrous Metals Manufacturing | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Organic Chemicals Manufacturing or Packaging | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Paint & Ink Manufacturing | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pesticides Formulating or Packaging | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Petroleum Refining | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pharmaceuticals Manufacturing or Packaging | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Plastics Manufacturing | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rubber Manufacturing | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soaps & Detergents Manufacturing | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Steam Electric Generation | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tanning Animal Skins | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Textile Mills | |

Are any process changes or expansions planned during the next three years? Yes No
If yes, attach a separate sheet to this form describing the nature of planned changes or expansions.

Inspector

Waste Treatment Facility

Please send a copy of the preliminary inspection form (both sides) to:

Jennifer Robinson
Division of Water Quality
P. O. Box 144870
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4870

Phone: (801) 536-4383
Fax: (801) 536-4301

E-Mail: jenrobinson@utah.gov

	Industrial User	Jurisdiction	SIC Codes	Categorical Standard Number	Total Average Process Flow (gpd)	Total Average Facility Flow (gpd)	Facility Description
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

ATTACHMENT 2

Wasteload Analysis

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah

WASTELOAD ANALYSIS [WLA]
Addendum: Statement of Basis

1-Nov-22
4:00 PM

Facilities: Neola Lagoons
Discharging to: Irrigation Ditch

UPDES No: UT-0023001

I. Introduction

Wasteload analyses are performed to determine point source effluent limitations necessary to maintain designated beneficial uses by evaluating projected effects of discharge concentrations on in-stream water quality. The wasteload analysis also takes into account downstream designated uses [R317-2-8, UAC]. Projected concentrations are compared to numeric water quality standards to determine acceptability. The anti-degradation policy and procedures are also considered. The primary in-stream parameters of concern may include metals (as a function of hardness), total dissolved solids (TDS), total residual chlorine (TRC), un-ionized ammonia (as a function of pH and temperature, measured and evaluated in terms of total ammonia), and dissolved oxygen.

Mathematical water quality modeling is employed to determine stream quality response to point source discharges. Models aid in the effort of anticipating stream quality at future effluent flows at critical environmental conditions (e.g., low stream flow, high temperature, high pH, etc).

The numeric criteria in this wasteload analysis may always be modified by narrative criteria and other conditions determined by staff of the Division of Water Quality.

II. Receiving Water and Stream Classification

Irrigation Ditch: 2B, 3E, 4
Antidegradation Review: Level I review completed. Level II review not required.

III. Numeric Stream Standards for Protection of Aquatic Wildlife

Total Ammonia (TNH3)	Varies as a function of Temperature and pH Rebound. See Water Quality Standards
Chronic Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)	0.011 mg/l (4 Day Average) 0.019 mg/l (1 Hour Average)
Chronic Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	5.00 mg/l (30 Day Average) N/A mg/l (7Day Average) 3.00 mg/l (1 Day Average)
Maximum Total Dissolved Solids	1200.0 mg/l

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Acute and Chronic Heavy Metals (Dissolved)

Parameter	4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard		
	Concentration	Load*	Concentration		Load*
Aluminum	87.00 ug/l**	0.640 lbs/day	750.00	ug/l	5.514 lbs/day
Arsenic	190.00 ug/l	1.397 lbs/day	340.00	ug/l	2.500 lbs/day
Cadmium	0.76 ug/l	0.006 lbs/day	8.73	ug/l	0.064 lbs/day
Chromium III	268.20 ug/l	1.972 lbs/day	5611.31	ug/l	41.254 lbs/day
ChromiumVI	11.00 ug/l	0.081 lbs/day	16.00	ug/l	0.118 lbs/day
Copper	30.50 ug/l	0.224 lbs/day	51.68	ug/l	0.380 lbs/day
Iron			1000.00	ug/l	7.352 lbs/day
Lead	18.58 ug/l	0.137 lbs/day	476.77	ug/l	3.505 lbs/day
Mercury	0.0120 ug/l	0.000 lbs/day	2.40	ug/l	0.018 lbs/day
Nickel	168.53 ug/l	1.239 lbs/day	1515.81	ug/l	11.144 lbs/day
Selenium	4.60 ug/l	0.034 lbs/day	20.00	ug/l	0.147 lbs/day
Silver	N/A ug/l	N/A lbs/day	41.07	ug/l	0.302 lbs/day
Zinc	387.80 ug/l	2.851 lbs/day	387.80	ug/l	2.851 lbs/day

* Allowed below discharge

**Chronic Aluminum standard applies only to waters with a pH < 7.0 and a Hardness < 50 mg/l as CaCO3

Metals Standards Based upon a Hardness of 399.97 mg/l as CaCO3

Organics [Pesticides]

Parameter	4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard		
	Concentration	Load*	Concentration		Load*
Aldrin			1.500	ug/l	0.011 lbs/day
Chlordane	0.004 ug/l	0.032 lbs/day	1.200	ug/l	0.009 lbs/day
DDT, DDE	0.001 ug/l	0.007 lbs/day	0.550	ug/l	0.004 lbs/day
Dieldrin	0.002 ug/l	0.014 lbs/day	1.250	ug/l	0.009 lbs/day
Endosulfan	0.056 ug/l	0.411 lbs/day	0.110	ug/l	0.001 lbs/day
Endrin	0.002 ug/l	0.017 lbs/day	0.090	ug/l	0.001 lbs/day
Guthion			0.010	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
Heptachlor	0.004 ug/l	0.028 lbs/day	0.260	ug/l	0.002 lbs/day
Lindane	0.080 ug/l	0.587 lbs/day	1.000	ug/l	0.007 lbs/day
Methoxychlor			0.030	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
Mirex			0.010	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
Parathion			0.040	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
PCB's	0.014 ug/l	0.103 lbs/day	2.000	ug/l	0.015 lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	13.00 ug/l	95.461 lbs/day	20.000	ug/l	0.147 lbs/day
Toxephene	0.0002 ug/l	0.001 lbs/day	0.7300	ug/l	0.005 lbs/day

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

IV. Numeric Stream Standards for Protection of Agriculture

	4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard	
	Concentration	Load*	Concentration	Load*
Arsenic			100.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Boron			750.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Cadmium			10.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Chromium			100.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Copper			200.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Lead			100.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Selenium			50.0 ug/l	lbs/day
TDS, Summer			1200.0 mg/l	4.41 tons/day

V. Numeric Stream Standards for Protection of Human Health (Class 1C Waters)

Metals	4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard	
	Concentration	Load*	Concentration	Load*
Arsenic			ug/l	lbs/day
Barium			ug/l	lbs/day
Cadmium			ug/l	lbs/day
Chromium			ug/l	lbs/day
Lead			ug/l	lbs/day
Mercury			ug/l	lbs/day
Selenium			ug/l	lbs/day
Silver			ug/l	lbs/day
Fluoride (3)			ug/l	lbs/day
to			ug/l	lbs/day
Nitrates as N			ug/l	lbs/day

Chlorophenoxy Herbicides

2,4-D	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4,5-TP	ug/l	lbs/day
Endrin	ug/l	lbs/day
ocyclohexane (Lindane)	ug/l	lbs/day
Methoxychlor	ug/l	lbs/day
Toxaphene	ug/l	lbs/day

VI. Numeric Stream Standards the Protection of Human Health from Water & Fish Consumption [Toxics]

Maximum Conc., ug/l - Acute Standards

Toxic Organics	Class 1C [2 Liters/Day for 70 Kg Person over 70 Yr.]		Class 3A, 3B [6.5 g for 70 Kg Person over 70 Yr.]	
	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Acenaphthene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Acrolein	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Acrylonitrile	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzidine	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Carbon tetrachloride	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Chlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene				
Hexachlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,1,1-Trichloroethane				
Hexachloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethane				
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Chloroethane				
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2-Chloronaphthalene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
p-Chloro-m-cresol			ug/l	lbs/day

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Chloroform (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2-Chlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-trans-Dichloroethyle	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,3-Dichloropropylene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Ethylbenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Fluoranthene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether				
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether				
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) e	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Bis(2-chloroethoxy) met	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Methylene chloride (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Methyl chloride (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Methyl bromide (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Bromoform (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Dichlorobromomethane(ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Chlorodibromomethane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Hexachlorobutadiene(c)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Hexachlorocyclopentadi	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Isophorone	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Naphthalene				
Nitrobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2-Nitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4-Nitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylami	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Phenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthala	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Di-n-octyl phthlate				
Diethyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Dimethyl phthlate	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(a)anthracene (P/	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(a)pyrene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (F	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(k)fluoranthene (F	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Chrysene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Acenaphthylene (PAH)				
Anthracene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Pyrene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Tetrachloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Toluene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Trichloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Vinyl chloride	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Pesticides				lbs/day
Aldrin	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Dieldrin	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Chlordane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4,4'-DDT	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4,4'-DDE	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4,4'-DDD	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
alpha-Endosulfan	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
beta-Endosulfan	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Endosulfan sulfate	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Endrin	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Endrin aldehyde	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Heptachlor	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Heptachlor epoxide				

PCB's

PCB 1242 (Arochlor 1242)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1254 (Arochlor 1254)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1221 (Arochlor 1221)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1232 (Arochlor 1232)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1248 (Arochlor 1248)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1260 (Arochlor 1260)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1016 (Arochlor 1016)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day

Pesticide

Toxaphene	ug/l		ug/l	lbs/day
-----------	------	--	------	---------

Dioxin

Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)	ug/l	lbs/day		
-----------------------	------	---------	--	--

Metals

Antimony	ug/l	lbs/day		
Arsenic	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Asbestos	ug/l	lbs/day		
Beryllium				
Cadmium				
Chromium (III)				
Chromium (VI)				
Copper				
Cyanide	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Lead	ug/l	lbs/day		
Mercury			ug/l	lbs/day
Nickel			ug/l	lbs/day
Selenium	ug/l	lbs/day		
Silver	ug/l	lbs/day		
Thallium			ug/l	lbs/day
Zinc				

There are additional standards that apply to this receiving water, but were not considered in this modeling/waste load allocation analysis.

VII. Mathematical Modeling of Stream Quality

Model configuration was accomplished utilizing standard modeling procedures. Data points were plotted and coefficients adjusted as required to match observed data as closely as possible.

The modeling approach used in this analysis included one or a combination of the following models.

(1) The Utah River Model, Utah Division of Water Quality, 1992. Based upon STREAMDO IV (Region VIII) and Supplemental Ammonia Toxicity Models; EPA Region VIII, Sept. 1990 and

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

QUAL2E (EPA, Athens, GA).

- (2) Utah Ammonia/Chlorine Model, Utah Division of Water Quality, 1992.
- (3) AMMTOX Model, University of Colorado, Center of Limnology, and EPA Region 8
- (4) Principles of Surface Water Quality Modeling and Control. Robert V. Thomann, et.al. Harper Collins Publisher, Inc. 1987, pp. 644.

Coefficients used in the model were based, in part, upon the following references:

- (1) Rates, Constants, and Kinetics Formulations in Surface Water Quality Modeling. Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens Georgia. EPA/600/3-85/040 June 1985.
- (2) Principles of Surface Water Quality Modeling and Control. Robert V. Thomann, et.al. Harper Collins Publisher, Inc. 1987, pp. 644.

VIII. Modeling Information

The required information for the model may include the following information for both the upstream conditions at low flow and the effluent conditions:

Flow, Q, (cfs or MGD)	D.O. mg/l
Temperature, Deg. C.	Total Residual Chlorine (TRC), mg/l
pH	Total NH3-N, mg/l
BOD5, mg/l	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), mg/l
Metals, ug/l	Toxic Organics of Concern, ug/l

Other Conditions

In addition to the upstream and effluent conditions, the models require a variety of physical and biological coefficients and other technical information. In the process of actually establishing the permit limits for an effluent, values are used based upon the available data, model calibration, literature values, site visits and best professional judgement.

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Model Inputs

The following is upstream and discharge information that was utilized as inputs for the analysis. Dry washes are considered to have an upstream flow equal to the flow of the discharge.

Current Upstream Information

Stream		Critical Low							
	Flow	Temp.	pH	T-NH3	BOD5	DO	TRC	TDS	
	cfs	Deg. C		mg/l as N	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	
Summer (Irrig. Season)	0.00	15.0	8.0	0.01	0.10	10.62	0.00	500.0	
Fall	0.00	13.9	8.3	0.01	0.10	---	0.00	500.0	
Winter	0.00	5.0	8.0	0.01	0.10	---	0.00	500.0	
Spring	0.00	10.0	8.0	0.01	0.10	---	0.00	500.0	
Dissolved Metals	Al ug/l	As ug/l	Cd ug/l	CrIII ug/l	CrVI ug/l	Copper ug/l	Fe ug/l	Pb ug/l	
All Seasons	2.385*	0.795*	0.0795*	0.795*	3.975*	0.8*	1.25*	0.795*	
Dissolved Metals	Hg ug/l	Ni ug/l	Se ug/l	Ag ug/l	Zn ug/l	Boron ug/l			
All Seasons	0.159*	0.795*	1.59*	0.15*	0.0795*	1.59*		* ~80% MDL	

Projected Discharge Information

Season	Flow, MGD	Temp.
Summer	0.88000	15.0
Fall	0.88000	8.0
Winter	0.88000	5.0
Spring	0.88000	10.0

All model numerical inputs, intermediate calculations, outputs and graphs are available for discussion, inspection and copy at the Division of Water Quality.

IX. Effluent Limitations

Current State water quality standards are required to be met under a variety of conditions including in-stream flows targeted to the 7-day, 10-year low flow (R317-2-9).

Other conditions used in the modeling effort coincide with the environmental conditions expected at low stream flows.

Effluent Limitation for Flow based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments will be met with an effluent flow maximum value as follows:

Season	Daily Average	
Summer	0.880 MGD	1.361 cfs
Fall	0.880 MGD	1.361 cfs
Winter	0.880 MGD	1.361 cfs
Spring	0.880 MGD	1.361 cfs

Flow Requirement or Loading Requirement

The calculations in this wasteload analysis utilize the maximum effluent discharge flow of 0.88 MGD. If the discharger is allowed to have a flow greater than 0.88 MGD during 7Q10 conditions, and effluent limit concentrations as indicated, then water quality standards will be violated. In order to prevent this from occurring, the permit writers must include the discharge flow limitation as indicated above; or, include loading effluent limits in the permit.

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Effluent Limitation for Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) based upon WET Policy

Effluent Toxicity will not occur in downstream segments if the values below are met.

WET Requirements	LC50 >	100.0% Effluent	[Acute]
	IC25 >	99.9% Effluent	[Chronic]

Effluent Limitation for Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) based upon Water Quality Standards or Regulations

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Oxygen will be met with an effluent BOD limitation as follows:

Season	Concentration	
Summer	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	183.4 lbs/day
Fall	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	183.4 lbs/day
Winter	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	183.4 lbs/day
Spring	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	183.4 lbs/day

Effluent Limitation for Dissolved Oxygen (DO) based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Oxygen will be met with an effluent D.O. limitation as follows:

Season	Concentration
Summer	NA
Fall	NA
Winter	NA
Spring	NA

Effluent Limitation for Total Ammonia based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Total Ammonia will be met with an effluent limitation (expressed as Total Ammonia as N) as follows:

Season	Concentration		Load
Summer	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	NA mg/l as N	NA lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	NA mg/l as N	NA lbs/day
Fall	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	NA mg/l as N	NA lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	NA mg/l as N	NA lbs/day
Winter	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	NA mg/l as N	NA lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	NA mg/l as N	NA lbs/day
Spring	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	NA mg/l as N	NA lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	NA mg/l as N	NA lbs/day

Acute limit calculated with an Acute Zone of Initial Dilution (ZID) to be equal to 100.0%.

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Effluent Limitation for Total Residual Chlorine based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Total Residual Chlorine will be met with an effluent limitation as follows:

Season		Concentration	Load
Summer	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	NA mg/l	NA lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	NA mg/l	NA lbs/day
Fall	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	NA mg/l	NA lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	NA mg/l	NA lbs/day
Winter	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	NA mg/l	NA lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	NA mg/l	NA lbs/day
Spring	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	NA mg/l	NA lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	NA mg/l	NA lbs/day

Effluent Limitations for Total Dissolved Solids based upon Water Quality Standards

Season		Concentration	Load
Summer	Maximum, Acute	1200.5 mg/l	4.40 tons/day
Fall	Maximum, Acute	1200.5 mg/l	4.40 tons/day
Winter	Maximum, Acute	1200.5 mg/l	4.40 tons/day
Spring	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	1200.5 mg/l	4.40 tons/day
Colorado Salinity Forum Limits		Determined by Permitting Section	

Effluent Limitations for Total Recoverable Metals based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Metals will be met with an effluent limitation as follows (based upon a hardness of 399.97 mg/l):

	4 Day Average		1 Hour Average		Load
	Concentration	Load	Concentration	Load	
Aluminum*	N/A	N/A	750.5	ug/l	5.5 lbs/day
Arsenic*	190.14 ug/l	0.9 lbs/day	340.2	ug/l	2.5 lbs/day
Cadmium	0.76 ug/l	0.0 lbs/day	8.7	ug/l	0.1 lbs/day
Chromium III	268.40 ug/l	1.3 lbs/day	5,615.4	ug/l	41.3 lbs/day
Chromium VI*	11.01 ug/l	0.1 lbs/day	16.0	ug/l	0.1 lbs/day
Copper	30.52 ug/l	0.1 lbs/day	51.7	ug/l	0.4 lbs/day
Iron*	N/A	N/A	1,362.4	ug/l	10.0 lbs/day
Lead	18.59 ug/l	0.1 lbs/day	477.1	ug/l	3.5 lbs/day
Mercury*	0.01 ug/l	0.0 lbs/day	2.4	ug/l	0.0 lbs/day
Nickel	168.65 ug/l	0.8 lbs/day	1,516.9	ug/l	11.2 lbs/day
Selenium*	4.60 ug/l	0.0 lbs/day	20.0	ug/l	0.1 lbs/day
Silver	N/A	N/A	41.1	ug/l	0.3 lbs/day
Zinc	388.09 ug/l	1.8 lbs/day	388.1	ug/l	2.9 lbs/day
Cyanide*	5.20 ug/l	0.0 lbs/day	22.0	ug/l	0.2 lbs/day

*Limits for these metals are based on the dissolved standard.

Effluent Limitations for Heat/Temperature based upon Water Quality Standards

Summer	19.0 Deg. C.	66.2 Deg. F
Fall	17.9 Deg. C.	64.2 Deg. F
Winter	9.0 Deg. C.	48.2 Deg. F
Spring	14.0 Deg. C.	57.2 Deg. F

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

**Effluent Limitations for Organics [Pesticides]
Based upon Water Quality Standards**

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Organics [Pesticides] will be met with an effluent limit as follows:

	4 Day Average		1 Hour Average		
	Concentration	Load	Concentration		Load
Aldrin			1.5E+00	ug/l	1.71E-02 lbs/day
Chlordane	4.30E-03 ug/l	3.16E-02 lbs/day	1.2E+00	ug/l	1.36E-02 lbs/day
DDT, DDE	1.00E-03 ug/l	7.34E-03 lbs/day	5.5E-01	ug/l	6.26E-03 lbs/day
Dieldrin	1.90E-03 ug/l	1.39E-02 lbs/day	1.3E+00	ug/l	1.42E-02 lbs/day
Endosulfan	5.60E-02 ug/l	4.11E-01 lbs/day	1.1E-01	ug/l	1.25E-03 lbs/day
Endrin	2.30E-03 ug/l	1.69E-02 lbs/day	9.0E-02	ug/l	1.02E-03 lbs/day
Guthion	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	1.0E-02	ug/l	1.14E-04 lbs/day
Heptachlor	3.80E-03 ug/l	2.79E-02 lbs/day	2.6E-01	ug/l	2.96E-03 lbs/day
Lindane	8.00E-02 ug/l	5.87E-01 lbs/day	1.0E+00	ug/l	1.14E-02 lbs/day
Methoxychlor	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	3.0E-02	ug/l	3.41E-04 lbs/day
Mirex	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	1.0E-02	ug/l	1.14E-04 lbs/day
Parathion	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	4.0E-02	ug/l	4.55E-04 lbs/day
PCB's	1.40E-02 ug/l	1.03E-01 lbs/day	2.0E+00	ug/l	2.27E-02 lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	1.30E+01 ug/l	9.54E+01 lbs/day	2.0E+01	ug/l	2.27E-01 lbs/day
Toxephene	2.00E-04 ug/l	1.47E-03 lbs/day	7.3E-01	ug/l	8.30E-03 lbs/day

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

**Effluent Limitations for Protection of Human Health [Toxics Rule]
Based upon Water Quality Standards (Most stringent of 1C or 3A & 3B as appropriate.)**

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Protection of Human Health [Toxics] will be met with an effluent limit as follows:

	Maximum Concentration	
	Concentration	Load
Toxic Organics		
Acenaphthene	ug/l	lbs/day
Acrolein	ug/l	lbs/day
Acrylonitrile	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzene	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzidine	ug/l	lbs/day
Carbon tetrachloride	ug/l	lbs/day
Chlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		
Hexachlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		
Hexachloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethane		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day
Chloroethane		
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	ug/l	lbs/day
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether		
2-Chloronaphthalene	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day
p-Chloro-m-cresol		
Chloroform (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day
2-Chlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ug/l	lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene ¹		
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/l	lbs/day
1,3-Dichloropropylene	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ug/l	lbs/day
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	ug/l	lbs/day
Ethylbenzene	ug/l	lbs/day
Fluoranthene	ug/l	lbs/day
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether		
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether		
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) ether	ug/l	lbs/day
Bis(2-chloroethoxy) methane		
Methylene chloride (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day
Methyl chloride (HM)		
Methyl bromide (HM)		
Bromoform (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day
Dichlorobromomethane(HM)	ug/l	lbs/day
Chlorodibromomethane (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ug/l	lbs/day
Isophorone	ug/l	lbs/day
Naphthalene		
Nitrobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day
2-Nitrophenol		
4-Nitrophenol		

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

2,4-Dinitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ug/l	lbs/day
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	ug/l	lbs/day
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ug/l	lbs/day
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	ug/l	lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day
Phenol	ug/l	lbs/day
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day
Di-n-octyl phthalate		
Diethyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day
Dimethyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(a)anthracene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(a)pyrene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(k)fluoranthene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Chrysene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Acenaphthylene (PAH)		
Anthracene (PAH)		
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Pyrene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Tetrachloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day
Toluene	ug/l	lbs/day
Trichloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day
Vinyl chloride	ug/l	lbs/day

Pesticides

Aldrin	ug/l	lbs/day
Dieldrin	ug/l	lbs/day
Chlordane	ug/l	lbs/day
4,4'-DDT	ug/l	lbs/day
4,4'-DDE	ug/l	lbs/day
4,4'-DDD	ug/l	lbs/day
alpha-Endosulfan	ug/l	lbs/day
beta-Endosulfan	ug/l	lbs/day
Endosulfan sulfate	ug/l	lbs/day
Endrin	ug/l	lbs/day
Endrin aldehyde	ug/l	lbs/day
Heptachlor	ug/l	lbs/day
Heptachlor epoxide		

PCB's

PCB 1242 (Arochlor 1242)	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1254 (Arochlor 1254)	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1221 (Arochlor 1221)	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1232 (Arochlor 1232)	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1248 (Arochlor 1248)	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1260 (Arochlor 1260)	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1016 (Arochlor 1016)	ug/l	lbs/day

Pesticide

Toxaphene	ug/l	lbs/day
-----------	------	---------

Metals

Antimony	ug/l	lbs/day
Arsenic	ug/l	lbs/day
Asbestos	ug/l	lbs/day
Beryllium		
Cadmium		
Chromium (III)		
Chromium (VI)		
Copper	ug/l	lbs/day

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Cyanide	ug/l	lbs/day
Lead		
Mercury	ug/l	lbs/day
Nickel	ug/l	lbs/day
Selenium		
Silver		
Thallium	ug/l	lbs/day
Zinc		
Dioxin		
Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)	#N/A ug/l	#N/A lbs/day

**Metals Effluent Limitations for Protection of All Beneficial Uses
Based upon Water Quality Standards and Toxics Rule**

	Class 4 Acute Agricultural ug/l	Class 3 Acute Aquatic Wildlife ug/l	Acute Toxics Drinking Water Source ug/l	Acute Toxics Wildlife ug/l	1C Acute Health Criteria ug/l	Acute Most Stringent ug/l	Class 3 Chronic Aquatic Wildlife ug/l
Aluminum						0.0	N/A
Antimony				4303.2		4303.2	
Arsenic	100.1				0.0	100.1	
Barium						0.0	
Beryllium						0.0	
Cadmium	10.0				0.0	10.0	
Chromium (III)					0.0	0.0	
Chromium (VI)	100.1				0.0	100.07	
Copper	200.1					200.1	
Cyanide		22.0	220161.6			220161.6	5.2
Iron						0.0	
Lead	100.1				0.0	100.1	
Mercury				0.15	0.0	0.15	
Nickel				4603.4		4603.4	
Selenium	50.0				0.0	50.0	
Silver					0.0	0.0	
Thallium				6.3		6.3	
Zinc						0.0	
Boron	750.6					750.6	
Sulfate	2001.5					2001.5	

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Summary Effluent Limitations for Metals [Wasteload Allocation, TMDL]

[If Acute is more stringent than Chronic, then the Chronic takes on the Acute value.]

	WLA Acute ug/l	WLA Chronic ug/l	
Aluminum	0.0	N/A	
Antimony	4303.16		
Arsenic	100.1		Acute Controls
Asbestos	0.00E+00		
Barium			
Beryllium			
Cadmium	10.0		Acute Controls
Chromium (III)	0.0		Acute Controls
Chromium (VI)	100.1		Acute Controls
Copper	200.1		Acute Controls
Cyanide	220161.6	5.2	
Iron	0.0		
Lead	100.1		Acute Controls
Mercury	0.150		Acute Controls
Nickel	4603.4		Acute Controls
Selenium	50.0		Acute Controls
Silver	0.0	N/A	
Thallium	6.3		
Zinc	0.0		Acute Controls
Boron	750.55		
Sulfate	2001.5		N/A at this Waterbody

Other Effluent Limitations are based upon R317-1.

E. coli 126.0 organisms per 100 ml

X. Antidegradation Considerations

The Utah Antidegradation Policy allows for degradation of existing quality where it is determined that such lowering of water quality is necessary to accommodate important economic or social development in the area in which the waters are protected [R317-2-3]. It has been determined that certain chemical parameters introduced by this discharge will cause an increase of the concentration of said parameters in the receiving waters. Under no conditions will the increase in concentration be allowed to interfere with existing instream water uses.

An Antidegradation Level I Review was conducted on this discharge and its effect on the receiving water. Based upon that review, it has been determined that an Antidegradation Level II Review is not required. This is a simple renewal without any changes.

XI. Colorado River Salinity Forum Considerations

Discharges in the Colorado River Basin are required to have their discharge at a TDS loading of less than 1.00 tons/day unless certain exemptions apply. Refer to the Forum's Guidelines for additional information allowing for an exceedence of this value.

This doesn't apply to facilities that do not discharge to the Colorado River Basin.

XII. Summary Comments

The mathematical modeling and best professional judgement indicate that violations of receiving water beneficial uses with their associated water quality standards, including important down-stream segments, will not occur for the evaluated parameters of concern as discussed above if the effluent limitations indicated above are met.